arXiv:hep-th/0606024v3 2 Mar 2007

hep-th/0606024
YITP-06-25
Imperial/TP/06/RAR/03
QMUL-PH-06-07

Worldsheet boundary conditions in Poisson-Lie T-duality

Cecilia Albertsson[a,1] and **Ronald A. Reid-Edwards**[b,2]

[a] *Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University,*
Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

[b] *The Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Imperial College London,*
53 Prince's Gate, London SW7 2PG, UK
and
Department of Physics, Queen Mary, University of London,
Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, UK

Abstract

We apply canonical Poisson-Lie T-duality transformations to bosonic open string worldsheet boundary conditions, showing that the form of these conditions is invariant at the classical level, and therefore they are compatible with Poisson-Lie T-duality. In particular the conditions for conformal invariance are automatically preserved, rendering also the dual model conformal. The boundary conditions are defined in terms of a gluing matrix which encodes the properties of D-branes, and we derive the duality map for this matrix. We demonstrate explicitly the implications of this map for D-branes in two non-Abelian Drinfel'd doubles.

---

[1] e-mail address: cecilia@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp
[2] e-mail address: r.reid-edwards@imperial.ac.uk

Contents

1 Introduction 2

2 Preliminaries 4

2.1 Worldsheet boundary conditions 4

2.2 Poisson-Lie T-duality 5

2.2.1 Canonical transformations 6

2.2.2 Poisson-Lie condition 7

3 Boundary conditions 8

4 Examples 10

4.1 Abelian double 10

4.2 Semi-Abelian double 10

4.3 Non-Abelian double 11

4.3.1 Two-dimensional example 11

4.3.2 Three-dimensional example 14

5 Conclusions 16

A The Poisson-Lie condition 17

1 Introduction

T-duality in string theory may be realised as a transformation acting on the two-dimensional nonlinear sigma model [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. This model describes the worldsheet theory of a string propagating on some target manifold $\mathcal{M}$ equipped with a riemannian metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, an antisymmetric B-field $B_{\mu\nu}$ and a torsion $H = dB$. Originally the condition for this realisation to be possible was that $\mathcal{M}$ have some isometry group G (i.e., a group action G on $\mathcal{M}$ under which the target space metric is invariant) which leaves the sigma model invariant. The dual model is then obtained by gauging the isometry to obtain a first-order parent action and integrating out gauge fields. The requirement that the background be isometric is a rather severe restriction, making it a challenging problem to prove T-duality for models where no isometry exists. Moreover, for non-Abelian isometry groups, the application of this technique is not symmetric in the sense that one does not necessarily recover the original theory by repeating the procedure [4, 10, 11].

Klimčík and Ševera [12] proposed a generalisation of T-duality to what has come to be known as Poisson-Lie T-duality, which allows the duality to be performed on a target space without isometries. Instead the background satisfies the Poisson-Lie condition, which is a restriction on the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_{v^a} E_{\mu\nu}$ of the background tensor $E_{\mu\nu} \equiv g_{\mu\nu} + B_{\mu\nu}$ with respect to G-invariant vector fields v^a on $\mathcal{M}$, replacing the isometry condition $\mathcal{L}_{v^a} E_{\mu\nu} = 0$. The Poisson-Lie condition is necessary for the existence of a well-defined dual worldsheet if the two dual target spaces are both Poisson-Lie group manifolds whose Lie algebras constitute a *Drinfel'd double.*

A Poisson-Lie group is a Lie group with a Poisson structure that is compatible with the group operation. Every Poisson-Lie group is stratified into symplectic leaves with symplectic forms induced by the natural Poisson bracket on the group [13, 14, 15]. A Drinfel'd double [16, 17] is a Lie algebra $\mathcal{D}$ which decomposes into the direct sum, as vector spaces, of two maximally isotropic Lie subalgebras $\mathcal{G}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$, each corresponding to a Poisson-Lie group (G and $\widetilde{G}$), such that the subalgebras are duals of each other in the usual sense, i.e., $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{G}^*$. There is a complete classification of all real four- and six-dimensional Drinfel'd doubles [18, 19, 20], but not of the eight-dimensional ones. If the target manifold $D/\widetilde{G} \cong G$ and its dual $G\backslash D \cong \widetilde{G}$ define a Drinfel'd double, then the Poisson-Lie condition translates into a flat-curvature condition, schematically $dJ + J \wedge J = 0$, on the Noether current J generating the left-action of G on itself in the sigma model. This is the condition for the worldsheet to be horizontally liftable into D and hence to have a well-defined dual in $\widetilde{G}$. The lift defines a dressing action of G on $\widetilde{G}$ and the ends of the open string on the dual target turn out to be confined to the orbits of the dressing action, which coincide with symplectic leaves [21]. For example, in the special case of a WZW model they are (twisted) conjugacy classes [22, 23].

When performing traditional T-duality in the presence of an isometry, one finds equations of motion for the fields in the parent action, which constitute a map from the fields in the original model to those of the dual one. These are the canonical transformations, which if known can be applied directly to the fields in the model, without going to the trouble of gauging etc. In particular, they can be used to find the duals of the worldsheet boundary conditions for the open string. Such transformations exist also for Poisson-Lie T-duality [24], and they can be obtained as field equations of a parent action on the double as shown in [25, 26]. In this paper we apply the Poisson-Lie canonical transformations to the boundary conditions in order to find their Poisson-Lie T-duals.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we review the open string worldsheet boundary conditions of the nonlinear sigma model as derived in [27, 28, 29]. These conditions are required for worldsheet $\mathcal{N}$=1 superconformal symmetry, but they were shown in [30] to be necessary also for bosonic Abelian T-duality. Our analysis includes the full set of conditions even though the model is bosonic, partly with a view to future study of the supersymmetric theory, and partly because Abelian T-duality arises as a special case. We furthermore summarise those aspects of Poisson-Lie T-duality relevant to our analysis, in particular recalling the (canonical) transformations as given by Klimčík and Ševera [12] and by Sfetsos [24, 31]. In section 3 we apply these transformations to the worldsheet boundary conditions, deriving the duality map of the gluing matrix R which defines the relation between left- and right-movers on the worldsheet boundary. We show that the form of the boundary conditions is invariant under this map, and in particular that conformal invariance is satisfied also on the dual side. In section 4 we work out several examples explicitly: $U(1)^n$ Abelian T-duality; the semi-Abelian double (i.e., traditional non-Abelian T-duality); a simple non-Abelian double, namely the Borel algebra $\mathcal{D} = gl(2, \mathbb{R})$; and the three-dimensional double of Sfetsos [32]. We conclude in section 5 with a summary and outlook.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Worldsheet boundary conditions

Consider the bosonic nonlinear sigma model describing open strings propagating on a Poisson-Lie group manifold G with a general background tensor $E_{\mu\nu} \equiv g_{\mu\nu} + B_{\mu\nu}$ (where $g_{\mu\nu}$ is a metric on G and $B_{\mu\nu}$ an antisymmetric B-field):

$$S \equiv \int d^2\xi \; \partial_{+\!\!\!+} X^\mu \partial_= X^\nu E_{\mu\nu}(X) \, . \tag{2.1}$$

Here $\partial_{\substack{+\!\!\!+\\=}} X^\mu$ denote derivatives, with respect to the worldsheet coordinates $\xi^\pm$, of target space coordinates X^μ. In general the target space is locally a product $G \times \mathcal{M}_0$, where the fields depending only on elements of $\mathcal{M}_0$ and not taking part in the duality transformation are called spectators. Here we ignore spectator fields, but the inclusion of them in the analysis is straightforward.

The worldsheet boundary is by definition confined to a D-brane. Its properties are encoded in the relation on the boundary between left- and right-moving worldsheet fields, which may be expressed as

$$\partial_= X^\mu = R^\mu{}_\nu \partial_{+\!\!\!+} X^\nu \, , \tag{2.2}$$

for some gluing matrix $R^\mu{}_\nu$, satisfying a set of conditions which we now discuss. In the $\mathcal{N}$=1 supersymmetric model the corresponding conditions state that, for the model to be consistent and for superconformal symmetry to be preserved on the boundary, the brane must be a well-defined smooth submanifold of the target manifold supporting a two-form defined by the B-field [27, 28]. The properties of the two-form determine whether the brane is Lagrangian, symplectic, or a more general type of submanifold. Although the conditions for consistency of the bosonic model are less stringent in general, it turns out that one can gauge an Abelian isometry in the bosonic model only if (the bosonic versions of) the $\mathcal{N}$=1 conditions are satisfied along the directions of the isometry [30]. With this in mind, and to allow a future straightforward extension to the supersymmetric model, we take into account all the boundary conditions derived in [27, 28]. They read as follows.

First, conformal symmetry requires ${R^\mu}_\nu$ to preserve the metric,

$$ {R^\rho}_\mu g_{\rho\sigma} {R^\sigma}_\nu = g_{\mu\nu} \,. \tag{2.3} $$

Next, vectors normal to the brane, which we refer to as Dirichlet vectors, are eigenvectors of ${R^\mu}_\nu$ with eigenvalue -1. In terms of the Dirichlet projector ${Q^\mu}_\nu$, which projects vectors onto the space normal to the brane, we have

$$ {R^\mu}_\rho {Q^\rho}_\nu = {Q^\mu}_\rho {R^\rho}_\nu = -{Q^\mu}_\nu \,. \tag{2.4} $$

Correspondingly, the Neumann condition reads

$$ {N^\rho}_\mu E_{\sigma\rho} {N^\sigma}_\nu - {N^\rho}_\mu E_{\rho\sigma} {N^\sigma}_\lambda {R^\lambda}_\nu = 0 \,, \tag{2.5} $$

where ${N^\mu}_\nu = \delta^\mu_\nu - {Q^\mu}_\nu$ is the Neumann projector, projecting vectors onto the tangent space of the brane. This condition tells us that for spacefilling D-branes (i.e., when the Neumann projector is the identity), the gluing matrix is given by $R = E^{-1}E^T$. In addition one finds that the metric diagonalises with respect to the D-brane,

$$ {N^\mu}_\rho g_{\mu\nu} {Q^\nu}_\sigma = 0 \,, \tag{2.6} $$

and that, at least in the presence of an Abelian isometry, the Neumann projector is integrable along the isometry direction,

$$ {N^\mu}_\gamma {N^\rho}_\nu {N^\delta}_{[\mu,\rho]} = 0 \,. \tag{2.7} $$

The latter condition is essentially the statement that the D-brane is a well-defined submanifold of the target space [33], and in this paper we shall assume that it holds on G.

2.2 Poisson-Lie T-duality

We now put the sigma model in the framework necessary for finding its Poisson-Lie T-dual, explaining how the duality acts on the background field $E_{\mu\nu}$. The action (2.1) may be rewritten in terms of group elements $g \in G$:

$$ S = \int d^2\xi \; L^a_{+\!\!\!+}(g) L^b_{=}(g) E_{ab}(g) \,, $$

where a, b are Lie algebra indices, $L^a_{\substack{+\!\!\!+\\=}} \equiv L(g)^a_\mu \partial_{\substack{+\!\!\!+\\=}} X^\mu = (g^{-1}\partial_{\substack{+\!\!\!+\\=}} g)^a$ is the left-invariant vector field, and

$$ E_{ab}(g) \equiv (L(g)^{-1})^\mu_a E_{\mu\nu}(g) (L(g)^{-1})^\nu_b \,. $$

We choose a basis $\{T_a, \widetilde{T}^a\}$ of $\mathcal{D}$ such that $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{span}\{T_a\}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}} = \mathrm{span}\{\widetilde{T}^a\}$, satisfying the following orthogonality conditions,[3]

$$ \langle T_a \,, \widetilde{T}^b \rangle = \delta_a^b \,, \qquad \langle T_a \,, T_b \rangle = \langle \widetilde{T}^a \,, \widetilde{T}^b \rangle = 0 \,. \tag{2.8} $$

---

[3] The vanishing brackets in (2.8) imply that $\mathcal{G}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ are maximally isotropic in $\mathcal{D}$.

Here $\langle\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\rangle$ is the non-degenerate bilinear form on $\mathcal{D}$ invariant under the adjoint action of D,

$$\langle Ad_l\ v, Ad_l\ w\rangle = \langle v, w\rangle\,,\quad v, w\in\mathcal{D}\,,\ l\in D\,,$$

where $Ad_l\ v \equiv lvl^{-1}$. The adjoint map corresponds to the left-action of D on itself in the adjoint representation, since

$$Ad_k\ Ad_l\ v = klvl^{-1}k^{-1} = Ad_{kl}\ v\,,\quad k, l\in D\,.$$

We may write the adjoint representation in terms of the matrices a, b, d defined as the coefficients in the expansion

$$g^{-1}T_a g \equiv a(g)_a{}^b T_b\,,\qquad g^{-1}\widetilde{T}^a g \equiv b(g)^{ab}T_b + d(g)^a{}_b\widetilde{T}^b\,. \tag{2.9}$$

2.2.1 Canonical transformations

Klimčík and Ševera showed [12, 34] how the general background field $E(g)$ can be found by translating a general g-independent reference field $E(e)$ from the identity $e\in G$ to the point $g\in G$, by left- or right-action of G on itself. If we use left-translation the result is [25]

$$[r(g)^{-1}]_a^\mu E_{\mu\nu}(g)[r(g)^{-1}]_b^\nu = \Big([a(g)^{-1} + E(e)b(g)^T]^{-1}\Big)_a{}^c E_{cd}(e)[d(g)^{-1}]^d{}_b\,,$$

where $[r(g)^{-1}]_a^\mu$ is the inverse of the right-invariant vector field $r(g)_\mu^a$, and the superscript T denotes transpose. Alternatively, this may be expressed in terms of the natural Poisson bracket $\Pi(g)$ on G [35],

$$\Pi^{ab}(g) \equiv \langle g^{-1}\widetilde{T}^c g, \widetilde{T}^a\rangle\langle g^{-1}T_c g, \widetilde{T}^b\rangle = b(g)^{ca}a(g)_c{}^b\,,$$

as follows (note that $(d^{-1})^a{}_b = a_b{}^a = (r^{-1})_b^\mu L_\mu^a$, where we simplify notation by dropping the explicit g-dependence),

$$\begin{aligned} E_{ab}(g) = (L^{-1})_a^\mu E_{\mu\nu}(g)(L^{-1})_b^\nu &= (a^{-1})_a{}^c(r^{-1})_c^\mu E_{\mu\nu}(g)(r^{-1})_d^\nu(a^{-1})_b{}^d \\ &= \Big([E(e)^{-1} + \Pi(g)]^{-1}\Big)_{ab}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Similarly, the dual background $\widetilde{E}$ can be transported from $\tilde{e}\in\widetilde{G}$ to any point $\tilde{g}\in\widetilde{G}$ by left-action of $\widetilde{G}$ on itself. Defining the matrices $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{d}$ as

$$\tilde{g}^{-1}\widetilde{T}^a\tilde{g} \equiv \tilde{a}(\tilde{g})^a{}_b\widetilde{T}^b\,,\qquad \tilde{g}^{-1}T_a\tilde{g} \equiv \tilde{b}(\tilde{g})_{ab}\widetilde{T}^b + \tilde{d}(\tilde{g})_a{}^b T_b\,,$$

the dual background is found to be

$$\widetilde{E}^{ab}(\tilde{g}) = \Big([\widetilde{E}(\tilde{e})^{-1} + \widetilde{\Pi}(\tilde{g})]^{-1}\Big)^{ab}\,, \tag{2.11}$$

where $\widetilde{E}^{ab}(\tilde{g}) \equiv (\widetilde{L}^{-1})_\mu^a\widetilde{E}^{\mu\nu}(\tilde{g})(\widetilde{L}^{-1})_\nu^b$, $\widetilde{E}(\tilde{e}) = E(e)^{-1}$ (this follows from orthogonality, with respect to the bilinear form $\langle\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\rangle$, of the graphs produced by the left-action of G and $\widetilde{G}$ respectively [12, 34]), and

$$\widetilde{\Pi}_{ab}(\tilde{g}) \equiv \langle\tilde{g}^{-1}T_c\tilde{g}, T_a\rangle\langle\tilde{g}^{-1}\widetilde{T}^c\tilde{g}, T_b\rangle = \tilde{b}(\tilde{g})_{ca}\tilde{a}(\tilde{g})^c{}_b$$

is the natural Poisson bracket on $\widetilde{G}$. The backgrounds $E(g)$ and $\widetilde{E}(\widetilde{g})$ are thus related via $E(e)$, and this relation is determined by the transformation (2.11).

Also the worldsheet fields $L^a_{\substack{+\!\!+\\ =}}$ can be dualised directly, by applying a canonical transformation. This transformation was found by Sfetsos [24] and is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^a_\sigma &= \left(\delta^a_b - \Pi^{ac}\widetilde{\Pi}_{cb}\right)\widetilde{P}^b - \Pi^{ab}(\widetilde{L}_\sigma)_b\,, &(2.12)\\
P_a &= \widetilde{\Pi}_{ab}\widetilde{P}^b + (\widetilde{L}_\sigma)_a\,, &(2.13)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the canonical variables are defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^a_\sigma &\equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(L^a_{+\!\!+} - L^a_{=}\right)\,, &(2.14)\\
P_a &\equiv L^\mu_a P_\mu = L^\mu_a \frac{\delta\mathcal{L}}{\delta(\partial_\tau X^\mu)} = \frac{1}{2}\left(E_{ba}L^b_{+\!\!+} + E_{ab}L^b_{=}\right)\,. &(2.15)
\end{aligned}
$$

Sfetsos showed in [31] that the transformations (2.12) and (2.13) are locally well-defined and that they preserve the form of the Hamiltonian as well as the canonical Poisson brackets for the conjugate pair of variables (L^a_σ, P_a).

2.2.2 Poisson-Lie condition

The backgrounds (2.10) and (2.11) are solutions of the *Poisson-Lie condition*, a necessary condition for two models to be Poisson-Lie T-dual. It is equivalent to the condition that the worldsheet is horizontally liftable to the double. For a connected Poisson-Lie group G and its dual Poisson-Lie group $\widetilde{G}$, there are homomorphisms of Lie groups $G \hookrightarrow D$ and $\widetilde{G} \hookrightarrow D$, and one can define a product map $G \times \widetilde{G} \to D$ which is a diffeomorphism onto a neighbourhood of the identity in D [15]. If G is compact and the image of $\widetilde{G}$ in D is closed, then this map is a diffeomorphism of $G \times \widetilde{G}$ onto D. An element $g \in G$ can thus be lifted to the double by multiplying it with an element $\widetilde{h} \in \widetilde{G}$. This lift can be factorised in two ways:

$$
l = g\widetilde{h} = \widetilde{g}h\,, \tag{2.16}
$$

for some elements $\widetilde{g} \in \widetilde{G}$ and $h \in G$. Eq. (2.16) defines the *dressing action* of G on $\widetilde{G}$, whose orbits in $\widetilde{G}$ coincide with the symplectic leaves in the stratification of $\widetilde{G}$ [14, 15].

Two extremal worldsheets $\Sigma \hookrightarrow G$ and $\widetilde{\Sigma} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{G}$ are Poisson-Lie T-dual if and only if they can be horizontally lifted to a surface $\Sigma_D \hookrightarrow D$. The condition for horizontal liftability is that the currents $J(g)$ and $\widetilde{J}(\widetilde{g})$ associated with the left-translation of, respectively, Σ in G and $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ in $\widetilde{G}$, are flat connection one-forms. That is, they must satisfy the zero-curvature conditions (here $f^a{}_{bc}$ and $\widetilde{f}_a{}^{bc}$ are the structure constants of $\mathcal{G}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$, respectively)

$$
\begin{gathered}
dJ_a + \tfrac{1}{2}\widetilde{f}_a{}^{bc} J_b \wedge J_c = 0\,,\\
d\widetilde{J}^a + \tfrac{1}{2}f^a{}_{bc}\widetilde{J}^b \wedge \widetilde{J}^c = 0\,,
\end{gathered} \tag{2.17}
$$

the solutions of which may be written as

$$J(g) = -d\widetilde{h}\ \widetilde{h}^{-1}\,, \qquad \widetilde{J}(\widetilde{g}) = -dh\ h^{-1}\,, \tag{2.18}$$

where $\widetilde{h}$ and h are the auxiliary elements used in the lift (2.16). By lifting the worldsheet boundaries of the free open string into the double in this way Klimčík and Ševera [21] showed that the dual D-branes in $\widetilde{G}$ coincide with the symplectic leaves defined by the associated dressing action. One can show that eqs. (2.17) are equivalent to the conditions (see appendix A)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{r^a} E_{\mu\nu}(g) &= -E_{\mu\rho}(g)(r^{-1})^{\rho}_{b}\widetilde{f}_{a}{}^{bc}(r^{-1})^{\sigma}_{c}E_{\sigma\nu}(g)\,, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{r}_a}\widetilde{E}^{\mu\nu}(\widetilde{g}) &= -\widetilde{E}^{\mu\rho}(\widetilde{g})(\widetilde{r}^{-1})^{b}_{\rho}f^{a}{}_{bc}(\widetilde{r}^{-1})^{c}_{\sigma}\widetilde{E}^{\sigma\nu}(\widetilde{g})\,. \end{aligned}$$

These are the Poisson-Lie conditions; they are manifestly symmetric under interchange of G and $\widetilde{G}$. They can alternatively be obtained as the equations of motion of a first order action defined on the double [25, 26], from which the sigma models on G and $\widetilde{G}$ may be derived by inserting the two factorisations (2.16) and integrating out $\widetilde{h}$ or h, respectively.

3 Boundary conditions

We now apply the canonical transformations to the worldsheet boundary conditions, to find their Poisson-Lie T-dual counterparts. In the Lie algebra frame the boundary conditions (2.2)–(2.7) read

$$L^a_{=} = R^a{}_b L^b_{+\!\!+}\,, \tag{3.1}$$

$$R^c{}_a g_{cd} R^d{}_b = g_{ab}\,, \tag{3.2}$$

$$R^a{}_c Q^c{}_b = Q^a{}_c R^c{}_b = -Q^a{}_b\,, \tag{3.3}$$

$$N^c{}_a E_{dc} N^d{}_b - N^c{}_a E_{cd} N^d{}_e R^e{}_b = 0\,, \tag{3.4}$$

$$N^c{}_a g_{cd} Q^d{}_b = 0\,, \tag{3.5}$$

$$N^c{}_a N^e{}_b N^d{}_{[c,e]} = 0\,, \tag{3.6}$$

where $R^a{}_b \equiv L^a_\mu R^\mu{}_\nu (L^{-1})^\nu_b$ and similarly for $N^a{}_b$ and $Q^a{}_b$. To find the dual conditions, we use eqs. (2.10), (2.11), (2.14) and (2.15) to rewrite the canonical transformations (2.12), (2.13) as acting on $L^a_{\overset{+}{=}}$. If we suppress indices, the resulting map is

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{L}_{+\!\!+} &= (\widetilde{E}^T)^{-1}(E_0^T)^{-1}E^T L_{+\!\!+}\,, &(3.7)\\ \widetilde{L}_{=} &= -\widetilde{E}^{-1}E_0^{-1}EL_{=}\,. &(3.8) \end{aligned}$$

Note that the transformation from $L_{\overset{+}{=}}$ to $\widetilde{L}_{\overset{+}{=}}$ is the Lie algebra map $\mathcal{G} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ corresponding to the map $G \to \widetilde{G}$ induced by the dressing action (2.16) of G on $\widetilde{G}$. Under (3.7), (3.8) the boundary condition (3.1) transforms to

$$(\widetilde{L}_{=})_a = \widetilde{R}_a{}^b(\widetilde{L}_{+\!\!+})_b\,,$$

where $\widetilde{R}_a{}^b \equiv \widetilde{L}^\mu_a \widetilde{R}_\mu{}^\nu (\widetilde{L}^{-1})^b_\nu$ and

$$\widetilde{R} \equiv -\widetilde{E}^{-1} E_0^{-1} E R (E^T)^{-1} E_0^T \widetilde{E}^T \,. \tag{3.9}$$

This is the transformation of the gluing matrix which defines how Poisson-Lie T-duality acts on the sigma model boundary conditions.

The dual of the conformality condition (3.2) is found to be

$$\widetilde{R}_c{}^a \widetilde{g}^{cd} \widetilde{R}_d{}^b = \widetilde{g}^{ab} \,,$$

where the transformation of the metric,

$$\widetilde{g} = \widetilde{E} E_0 E^{-1} g (E^T)^{-1} E_0^T \widetilde{E}^T \,, \tag{3.10}$$

follows from (2.10) and (2.11). Hence conformal symmetry is automatically satisfied on the dual side. Furthermore, the transformation law (3.9) for the gluing matrix determines also the form of the dual Neumann and Dirichlet projectors $\widetilde{N}$ and $\widetilde{Q}$, via the defining relation $\widetilde{R}\widetilde{Q} = \widetilde{Q}\widetilde{R} = -\widetilde{Q}$. One may thus consistently impose the dual versions of conditions (3.4)-(3.6) to obtain a well-defined open string theory on the dual target manifold.

The duality transformations (3.7), (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10) may be interpreted as a generalisation of the Abelian T-duality discussion in [30, 36] if we define

$$\mathbf{Q}_+ \equiv (\widetilde{E}^T)^{-1} (E_0^T)^{-1} E^T \,, \qquad \mathbf{Q}_- \equiv -\widetilde{E}^{-1} E_0^{-1} E \,.$$

Then we have

$$\widetilde{L}_{\#} = \mathbf{Q}_+ L_{\#} \,, \qquad \widetilde{L}_{=} = \mathbf{Q}_- L_{=} \,,$$
$$\widetilde{R} = \mathbf{Q}_- R \mathbf{Q}_+^{-1} \,, \qquad \widetilde{g} = (\mathbf{Q}_+^T)^{-1} \, g \, \mathbf{Q}_+^{-1} \,,$$

i.e., precisely the relations listed in [30] for the Abelian case, but written in the Lie algebra frame.

Before proceeding to discuss specific examples, we highlight some general features of the gluing matrix R and its dual $\widetilde{R}$. First note that $\det(\widetilde{R}) = \det(-R)$, a result that will be useful in the case-by-case analysis in the next section. Next we see that, in coordinates adapted to the D-brane, R takes the form

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} E_N^{-1} E_N^T & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} ,$$

where E_N is the nonzero Neumann-Neumann block in the matrix $N^T E N$. If the B-field restricts to zero on the brane (i.e., B_{ab} has no Neumann-Neumann part), so that E_N is symmetric, then the gluing matrix is simply

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} .$$

In this case it can be used to define the Neumann and Dirichlet projectors via $N = (\mathbb{1} + R)/2$ and $Q = (\mathbb{1} - R)/2$, since $R^2 = \mathbb{1}$ [27]. In general, however, this is not the case, and we have $R^2 \neq \mathbb{1}$.

4 Examples

There are three types of Drinfel'd double, depending on whether or not the two constituent Poisson-Lie groups G and $\widetilde{G}$ are Abelian. Here we consider each type in turn, analysing the consequences of the duality transformation (3.9) for specific examples.

4.1 Abelian double

Take the Drinfel'd double of $D = U(1)^n \times U(1)^n$, where Poisson-Lie T-duality is expected to reduce to traditional Abelian T-duality. The Poisson bracket vanishes, and the Poisson-Lie condition is just the isometry condition $\mathcal{L}_{r^a} E_{\mu\nu} = 0$. We have $E = E_0 = \widetilde{E}_0^{-1} = \widetilde{E}^{-1}$, so E and $\widetilde{E}$ are both independent of the group elements. From eqs. (3.3) and (3.4) we see that R is constant and in adapted coordinates takes the form

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} E_N^{-1} E_N^T & 0 \\ 0 & -1\!\!1 \end{pmatrix} ,$$

where E_N is the nonzero Neumann-Neumann block in the matrix $N^T E_0 N$. The transformation (3.9) yields

$$\widetilde{R} = -E_0 R (E_0^T)^{-1} . \tag{4.1}$$

When R is completely Neumann, i.e., when we have a spacefilling D-brane, eq. (3.4) yields $R = E_0^{-1} E_0^T$ so that (4.1) reduces to $\widetilde{R} = -1\!\!1$. That is, a spacefilling D-brane is T-dual to a pointlike D-brane. For the model with $G = U(1)$ isometry, this is just the statement that dualisation along the isometry direction transforms it from a Neumann to a Dirichlet direction for the brane. In this case the transformations (3.7), (3.8) reduce to the familiar maps for the isometry direction X^0 (in tangent space),

$$\partial_{\mp\!\!\!\!\mp} \widetilde{X}^0 = E_0^T \partial_{\mp\!\!\!\!\mp} X^0 , \qquad \partial_{=} \widetilde{X}^0 = -E_0 \partial_{=} X^0 .$$

Similarly if the model has $G = U(1)^n$ isometry, the duality map corresponds to simultaneous dualisation of a spacefilling brane along all n directions.

4.2 Semi-Abelian double

The semi-Abelian double corresponds to the standard non-Abelian T-duality between a G-isometric sigma model with target G and a non-isometric sigma model with the target $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ viewed as the Abelian group. Consider the double of $D = G \ltimes U(1)^n$ (semi-direct product), where G is a non-Abelian group. An example is the six-dimensional group $D = ISO(3) = SO(3) \ltimes U(1)^3$. When R is completely Neumann, then eq. (3.4) yields $R = E^{-1} E^T$ and the duality map (3.9) reduces to

$$\widetilde{R} = -\widetilde{E}^{-1} E_0^{-1} E_0^T \widetilde{E}^T = -\widetilde{E}^{-1} R_0 \widetilde{E}^T ,$$

where we have defined $R_0 \equiv E_0^{-1} E_0^T$. We moreover have $\widetilde{E} = E_0^{-1}$ [18], hence $\widetilde{R} = -\mathbb{1}$, so again a spacefilling brane on G is dual to a pointlike brane on the dual manifold.

4.3 Non-Abelian double

4.3.1 Two-dimensional example

We now turn to a detailed study of the simplest non-Abelian double, namely the Borelian double $gl(2, \mathbb{R})$. This is much too simple a model to be physically interesting, but it serves as a tractable toy model to illustrate the main features of the duality transformation. The dual sigma models on this double have been explicitly worked out in [18, 34]; here we analyse their worldsheet boundary conditions.

We choose the decomposition of the bialgebra as $gl(2, \mathbb{R}) = (\mathcal{G}, \widetilde{\mathcal{G}})$ with basis $\{T_a\}$ for $\mathcal{G}$ and basis $\{\widetilde{T}^a\}$ for $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$, defined as

$$T_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad T_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\widetilde{T}^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \widetilde{T}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The generators T_a span the Borelian subalgebra of upper triangular matrices and $\widetilde{T}^a$ span the Borelian subalgebra of lower triangular matrices. Using the parameterisation

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\chi} & \theta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

of group elements $g \in G$, the adjoint representation matrices defined in (2.9) read

$$a(g) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \theta e^{-\chi} \\ 0 & e^{-\chi} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad b(g) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\theta e^{-\chi} \\ \theta & \theta^2 e^{-\chi} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad d(g) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\theta & e^{\chi} \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we define the value of the background field E_{ab} at the identity to be the constant matrix

$$E_0^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ u & v \end{pmatrix},$$

then eq. (2.10) yields[4]

$$E(g) = [(xv - uy) + \theta e^{-\chi}(\theta e^{-\chi} + y - u)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} v & -y - \theta e^{-\chi} \\ -u + \theta e^{-\chi} & x \end{pmatrix},$$

---

[4] Note that the explicit expressions for $E(g)$ and $\widetilde{E}(\widetilde{g})$ differ from those of [18, 34], because they use right-translation on $G, \widetilde{G}$ while we use left-translation, and also we have used slightly different definitions of the fields.

where the notation is $E_{11} \equiv E_{\chi\chi}$ etc. Parameterising the dual group element $\widetilde{g} \in \widetilde{G}$ as

$$\widetilde{g} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\rho & e^{\sigma} \end{pmatrix},$$

the corresponding adjoint representations read

$$\widetilde{a}(\widetilde{g}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho e^{-\sigma} \\ 0 & e^{-\sigma} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \widetilde{b}(\widetilde{g}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\rho e^{-\sigma} \\ \rho & \rho^2 e^{-\sigma} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \widetilde{d}(\widetilde{g}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\rho & e^{\sigma} \end{pmatrix},$$

and the dual background follows from eq. (2.11) (where $\widetilde{E}^{11} \equiv \widetilde{E}^{\sigma\sigma}$ etc.),

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{E}(\widetilde{g}) &= [1 + \rho^2 e^{-2\sigma}(xv - yu) + \rho e^{-\sigma}(u - y)]^{-1} \times \\ &\times \begin{pmatrix} x & y - \rho e^{-\sigma}(xv - yu) \\ u + \rho e^{-\sigma}(xv - yu) & v \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting E_0, $E(g)$ and $\widetilde{E}(\widetilde{g})$ into eq. (3.9), one finds the dual gluing matrix $\widetilde{R}$ for any given original gluing matrix R. There are three different possibilities:

Case 1:

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

This is a D(-1)-brane, with Dirichlet directions in both coordinate directions on G. Then eq. (3.9) yields

$$\widetilde{R} \equiv \widetilde{E}^{-1} E_0^{-1} E (E^T)^{-1} E_0^T \widetilde{E}^T \equiv -\frac{1}{AB} \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{R}_{11} & \widetilde{R}_{12} \\ \widetilde{R}_{21} & \widetilde{R}_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$A \equiv xv - uy + \theta e^{-\chi}(\theta e^{-\chi} + y - u), \qquad B \equiv 1 + \rho e^{-\sigma}(u - y) + \rho^2 e^{-2\sigma}(xv - uy),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{R}_{11} &= [(y + \theta e^{-\chi})(1 + u\rho e^{-\sigma}) - xv\rho e^{-\sigma}]^2 - xv(1 + \rho e^{-\sigma}\theta e^{-\chi})^2 \\ \widetilde{R}_{12} &= v(1 + \rho e^{-\sigma}\theta e^{-\chi})[(y + \theta e^{-\chi})(1 + u\rho e^{-\sigma}) + (-u + \theta e^{-\chi})(1 - y\rho e^{-\sigma}) \\ &\quad -2xv\rho e^{-\sigma}] \\ \widetilde{R}_{21} &= -x(1 + \rho e^{-\sigma}\theta e^{-\chi})[(y + \theta e^{-\chi})(1 + u\rho e^{-\sigma}) + (-u + \theta e^{-\chi})(1 - y\rho e^{-\sigma}) \\ &\quad -2xv\rho e^{-\sigma}] \\ \widetilde{R}_{22} &= [(-u + \theta e^{-\chi})(1 - y\rho e^{-\sigma}) - xv\rho e^{-\sigma}]^2 - xv(1 + \rho e^{-\sigma}\theta e^{-\chi})^2 \end{aligned}$$

$\widetilde{R}$ is a nontrivial matrix in general. Its determinant is $\det \widetilde{R} = \det(-R) = 1$, so the dual brane has either zero or two Dirichlet directions. If the latter, then the only solution is $\widetilde{R} = -\mathbb{1}$, which happens only for backgrounds $E(g)$ and $\widetilde{E}(\widetilde{g})$ such that $\widetilde{E}(\widetilde{E}^T)^{-1} = -E_0^{-1} E (E^T)^{-1} E_0^T$. If the dual brane has zero Dirichlet directions, then it is a D1-brane, whose embedding in $\widetilde{G}$ is given by $\widetilde{R}$. This situation occurs only if the Poisson bracket Π on G vanishes[5], since in this case the relation (3.9) reduces to

---

[5] We are grateful to Libor Šnobl for this observation. In dimensions higher than two, the condition is $\det \Pi = 0$.

$E_0^{-1}E(E^T)^{-1}E_0^T = \mathbb{1}$, implying $\Pi(E_0+E_0^T) = 0$, and hence (since $E_0+E_0^T = 0$ would imply a vanishing metric) we find $\Pi = 0$. We conclude that the D(-1)-brane is dual either to a D1-brane (provided $\Pi = 0$), or possibly, for some special backgrounds, a D(-1)-brane.

Case 2:

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.2}$$

This is a D0-brane, with one Dirichlet direction and one Neumann direction. The dual gluing matrix again follows from eq. (3.9):

$$\widetilde{R} \equiv -\frac{1}{AB}\begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{R}_{11} & \widetilde{R}_{12} \\ \widetilde{R}_{21} & \widetilde{R}_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{R}_{11} &= [(y+\theta e^{-\chi})(1+u\rho e^{-\sigma}) - xv\rho e^{-\sigma}]^2 + xv(1+\rho e^{-\sigma}\theta e^{-\chi})^2 \\
\widetilde{R}_{12} &= v(u+y)(1+\rho e^{-\sigma}\theta e^{-\chi})^2 \\
\widetilde{R}_{21} &= -x(u+y)(1+\rho e^{-\sigma}\theta e^{-\chi})^2 \\
\widetilde{R}_{22} &= -\{[(-u+\theta e^{-\chi})(1-y\rho e^{-\sigma}) - xv\rho e^{-\sigma}]^2 + xv(1+\rho e^{-\sigma}\theta e^{-\chi})^2\}
\end{aligned}$$

The determinant is $\det \widetilde{R} = -1$, so $\widetilde{R}$ has one $+1$ eigenvalue and one -1 eigenvalue. This means $\widetilde{R}$ can be diagonalised to take the form (4.2). Hence the dual D-brane also has one Dirichlet direction and one Neumann direction, so the dual of the D0-brane is a D0-brane. The original D0-brane lies along one of the coordinate directions in the original manifold whereas the dual D0-brane is nontrivially embedded in the dual manifold, and the embedding can be found explicitly by diagonalising $\widetilde{R}$. As a special case, note that if $E_0 = \mathbb{1}$, then $\widetilde{R} = \mathrm{diag}(-1, 1)$, i.e., Neumann and Dirichlet directions are just swapped relative to the original brane.

Case 3:

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$$

For generic eigenvalues, this is a D1-brane (i.e., spacefilling), which according to eq. (3.4) is given by

$$R = E^{-1}E^T = \frac{1}{A}\begin{pmatrix} xv - (y+\theta e^{-\chi})^2 & -x(u-y-2\theta e^{-\chi}) \\ v(u-y-2\theta e^{-\chi}) & xv-(u-\theta e^{-\chi})^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

The dual matrix becomes

$$\widetilde{R} = -\frac{1}{B}\begin{pmatrix} (1+u\rho e^{-\sigma})^2 - xv\rho^2 e^{-2\sigma} & v\rho e^{-\sigma}(2+(u-y)\rho e^{-\sigma}) \\ -x\rho e^{-\sigma}(2+(u-y)\rho e^{-\sigma}) & (1-y\rho e^{-\sigma})^2 - xv\rho^2 e^{-2\sigma} \end{pmatrix}$$

The determinant is $\det \widetilde{R} = 1$, so the dual brane has either zero or two Dirichlet directions. If it has two Dirichlet directions, then we obtain exactly the reverse situation of Case 1: the D1-brane is dual to a D(-1)-brane provided the Poisson bracket $\widetilde{\Pi}$ on $\widetilde{G}$ vanishes, and we have $\widetilde{R} = -\mathbb{1}$. If on the other hand the dual brane has zero Dirichlet directions, then it is a D1-brane, and since it is spacefilling it should satisfy the dual version of eq. (3.4), $\widetilde{R} = \widetilde{E}^{-1}\widetilde{E}^T$. It turns out, however, that this situation is disallowed by eq. (3.9), because it would require $E_0 + E_0^T = 0$ and hence a vanishing metric. We conclude that D1-branes are dual to D(-1)-branes provided $\widetilde{\Pi} = 0$, but that D1-branes are never dual to D1-branes.

The Borelian example nicely illustrates the symmetric nature of Poisson-Lie T-duality. The transformation law (3.9) for the gluing matrix is completely reversible, on the one hand interchanging D(-1)- and D1-branes independently of which of the two types of brane one starts with, and on the other hand taking D(-1)-branes to D(-1)-branes and D0-branes to D0-branes. It is moreover manifestly symmetric under interchange of the two groups G and $\widetilde{G}$ corresponding to the Drinfel'd double.

4.3.2 Three-dimensional example

We also work out an example where the target spaces are three-dimensional, namely the double studied by Sfetsos in [32]. The algebras in this double are $\mathcal{G} = su(2)$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}} = e_3$, whose generators T_a and $\widetilde{T}^a$, respectively, satisfy the commutation relations $(a, b = (i, 3), i = 1, 2)$

$$[T_a, T_b] = i\epsilon_{abc} T_c\,, \qquad [\widetilde{T}^3, \widetilde{T}^i] = \widetilde{T}^i\,, \qquad [\widetilde{T}^i, \widetilde{T}^j] = 0\,,$$

$$[T_i, \widetilde{T}^j] = i\epsilon_{ij}\widetilde{T}^3 - \delta_{ij} T_3\,, \qquad [T_3, \widetilde{T}^i] = i\epsilon_{ij}\widetilde{T}^j\,, \qquad [\widetilde{T}^3, T_i] = i\epsilon_{ij}\widetilde{T}^j - T_i\,.$$

Adopting the notation and assumptions of Sfetsos, we define the constant background at the identity as $E_0^{-1} = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ with $\lambda_2 \equiv \lambda_1$, and the Poisson brackets obtained from $\Pi = b^T a, \widetilde{\Pi} = \widetilde{b}^T \widetilde{a}$ (for explicit expressions for matrices a, b etc, see ref. [32]) may be written in terms of the components $A_a, \widetilde{A}_a$ of three-vectors $\vec{A}, \vec{\widetilde{A}}$ as

$$\Pi^{ab} = -\epsilon_{abc} A_c\,, \qquad \widetilde{\Pi}_{ab} = -\epsilon_{abc}\widetilde{A}_c\,,$$

where, in terms of local coordinates (ψ, θ, ϕ) on $\mathcal{G}$ and (y_1, y_2, χ) on $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$,

$$\vec{A} \equiv (\cos\psi \sin\theta, \sin\psi \sin\theta, \cos\theta - 1)\,,$$

$$\vec{\widetilde{A}} \equiv (y_1 e^{-\chi}, y_2 e^{-\chi}, \sinh\chi\; e^{-\chi} - \frac{1}{2}(y_1^2 + y_2^2) e^{-2\chi})\,.$$

Then the background fields $E, \widetilde{E}$ read

$$E_{ab} = \frac{1}{V}\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1\lambda_3 + A_1^2 & \lambda_3 A_3 + A_1 A_2 & -\lambda_1 A_2 + A_1 A_3 \\ -\lambda_3 A_3 + A_1 A_2 & \lambda_1\lambda_3 + A_2^2 & \lambda_1 A_1 + A_2 A_3 \\ \lambda_1 A_2 + A_1 A_3 & -\lambda_1 A_1 + A_3 A_2 & \lambda_1^2 + A_3^2 \end{pmatrix}\,,$$

$$\widetilde{E}^{ab} = \frac{1}{\widetilde{V}}\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1(1 + \lambda_1\lambda_3\widetilde{A}_1^2) & \lambda_1^2(\widetilde{A}_3 + \lambda_3\widetilde{A}_1\widetilde{A}_2) & \lambda_1\lambda_3(-\widetilde{A}_2 + \lambda_1\widetilde{A}_1\widetilde{A}_3) \\ \lambda_1^2(-\widetilde{A}_3 + \lambda_3\widetilde{A}_1\widetilde{A}_2) & \lambda_1(1 + \lambda_1\lambda_3\widetilde{A}_2^2) & \lambda_1\lambda_3(\widetilde{A}_1 + \lambda_1\widetilde{A}_2\widetilde{A}_3) \\ \lambda_1\lambda_3(\widetilde{A}_2 + \lambda_1\widetilde{A}_1\widetilde{A}_3) & \lambda_1\lambda_3(-\widetilde{A}_1 + \lambda_1\widetilde{A}_2\widetilde{A}_3) & \lambda_3(1 + \lambda_1^2\widetilde{A}_3^2) \end{pmatrix}\,,$$

where

$$V \equiv \lambda_1^2\lambda_3 + \lambda_1 A_1^2 + \lambda_1 A_2^2 + \lambda_3 A_3^2 \,, \qquad \widetilde{V} \equiv 1 + \lambda_1\lambda_3\widetilde{A}_1^2 + \lambda_1\lambda_3\widetilde{A}_2^2 + \lambda_1^2\widetilde{A}_3^2 \,.$$

In a three-dimensional manifold we can have four different types of D-brane: D(-1), D0, D1, and D2. We compute the dual gluing matrix for each of these cases.

Case 1: $R = -1\!\!1$, a D(-1)-brane. Then eq. (3.9) yields the dual gluing matrix

$$\begin{aligned}&(\widetilde{R})_a{}^b = -\tfrac{1}{\widetilde{V}} \textstyle\sum_{c,d,e,f,g} \left(\delta_{ad} - \epsilon_{adc}\lambda_d\widetilde{A}_c\right) \times \\ &\times \left(\delta_{de}[1 - 2\lambda_1^2\lambda_3/V] - 2\lambda_e[\epsilon_{def}\lambda_f A_f + A_d A_e]/V\right) \left(\delta_{eb} - \epsilon_{ebg}\lambda_b\widetilde{A}_g + \widetilde{A}_e\widetilde{A}_b\lambda_1^2\lambda_3/\lambda_e\right) \,.\end{aligned}$$

It has determinant $\det\widetilde{R} = \det(-R) = 1$, so it can have zero or two Dirichlet directions, i.e., it is either a D2-brane (spacefilling) or a D0-brane. Note that, while in two dimensions the condition for a D(-1)-brane to be dual to a spacefilling brane is that $\Pi = 0$, the corresponding condition in higher dimensions is the less restrictive $\det\Pi = 0$. Since in three dimensions this is always true, there is a priori no obstruction for the D(-1)-brane to be dual to a D2-brane.

Case 2: $R = \mathrm{diag}(1,-1,-1)$, a D0-brane. The dual gluing matrix reads

$$\begin{aligned}&(\widetilde{R})_a{}^b = -\tfrac{1}{\widetilde{V}} \textstyle\sum_{c,d,e,f,g} \left(\delta_{ad} - \epsilon_{adc}\lambda_d\widetilde{A}_c\right) [\delta_{d1}\delta_{e1} + (\delta_{d2}\delta_{e2} + \delta_{d3}\delta_{e3})(-1 + 2\lambda_1 A_1^2/V) \\ &+(\delta_{d2}\delta_{e2} - \delta_{d3}\delta_{e3})2A_1A_2A_3/V - 2(1-\delta_{d1})(1-\delta_{e1})\epsilon_{def}(A_d^2 + \lambda_e\lambda_f)A_f/V \\ &+2\delta_{d1}(1-\delta_{e1})A_1(\epsilon_{1ef}A_1A_f - \lambda_e A_e)/V] \left(\delta_{eb} - \epsilon_{ebg}\lambda_b\widetilde{A}_g + \widetilde{A}_e\widetilde{A}_b\lambda_1^2\lambda_3/\lambda_e\right) \,.\end{aligned}$$

The determinant is -1, i.e., it is either a D(-1)-brane or a D1-brane.

Case 3: $R = \mathrm{diag}(R_N, -1)$, a D1-brane where the submatrix

$$R_N = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 2(\lambda_3 A_3^2 + A_1A_2A_3)/V & -2A_3(\lambda_1\lambda_3 + A_2^2)/V \\ 2A_3(\lambda_1\lambda_3 + A_1^2)/V & 1 - 2(\lambda_3 A_3^2 - A_1A_2A_3)/V \end{pmatrix}$$

is determined by[6] $R_N = (N^TEN)^{-1}(N^TE^TN)$, with the Neumann projector $N = \mathrm{diag}(1,1,0)$. The dual gluing matrix reads

$$\begin{aligned}&(\widetilde{R})_a{}^b = -\tfrac{1}{\widetilde{V}} \textstyle\sum_{c,d,e,f,g} \left(\delta_{ad} - \epsilon_{adc}\lambda_d\widetilde{A}_c\right) [(1-\delta_{d3})\,\{(1-\delta_{e3})\,\times \\ &\times\,[\delta_{de}(1 + 2(1-2\delta_{d1})A_1A_2A_3/V) + 2\epsilon_{def}A_d^2A_f/V] - 2\delta_{e3}\lambda_3A_3A_d/V\} - \delta_{d3}\delta_{e3}] \times \\ &\times \left(\delta_{eb} - \epsilon_{ebg}\lambda_b\widetilde{A}_g + \widetilde{A}_e\widetilde{A}_b\lambda_1^2\lambda_3/\lambda_e\right) \,.\end{aligned}$$

Its determinant is 1, so we have a D0-brane or a D2-brane.

Case 4: $R = E^{-1}E^T$, a D2-brane. The dual gluing matrix reads

$$(\widetilde{R})_a{}^b = \delta_{ab} - 2(\delta_{ab} - \textstyle\sum_c \epsilon_{abc}\lambda_b\widetilde{A}_c + \widetilde{A}_a\widetilde{A}_b\lambda_1^2\lambda_3/\lambda_a)/\widetilde{V} \,.$$

The determinant is -1, so it is a D(-1)-brane or a D1-brane. As in Case 1, note that since $\det\widetilde{\Pi} = 0$, the D2-brane can be dual to a D(-1)-brane.

---

[6] The inverse here is understood to be taken on the Neumann subspace.

To summarise Poisson-Lie T-duality in this three-dimensional example, the D-branes in the model are exchanged as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(-1) & \leftrightarrow & D0 \\ D0 & \leftrightarrow & D1 \\ D1 & \leftrightarrow & D2 \\ D2 & \leftrightarrow & D(-1) \end{array}$$

We see that all branes are linked together in a duality chain, where each step changes the brane dimension by one, except in the duality D(-1) ↔ D2.

The above analysis is somewhat superficial, considering only the value of the determinant of the gluing matrix. To obtain more detailed information about the dual D-branes, one should study the eigenvalues of each gluing matrix as well as its explicit form in terms of local coordinates. In particular, the condition that $E, \widetilde{E}$ satisfy eq. (3.9) may for some of the D-brane exchanges impose restrictions on the variables used in the parameterisation.

5 Conclusions

By applying the Poisson-Lie T-duality canonical transformations found by Sfetsos [24] to the worldsheet boundary conditions of the bosonic nonlinear sigma model, we have derived the explicit duality map, eq. (3.9), for the gluing matrix which locally defines the properties of the D-brane. The gluing matrix relates left- and right-moving fields on the worldsheet, and the boundary conditions of the open string sigma model are expressed in terms of it. Its eigenvalues determine the dimensionality of the brane, and its form the embedding of the brane in the target space, at least locally. The sigma model and its dual are defined on Poisson-Lie group manifolds that make up a Drinfel'd double, in line with the formalism of Klimčík and Ševera [12]. We have demonstrated how the boundary conditions transform under Poisson-Lie T-duality, and in particular that the model dual to a conformal model is itself automatically conformal. In the process we had to rewrite the canonical transformations of Sfetsos as a map acting on the relevant worldsheet fields in the Lie algebra frame. It can be written as a direct generalisation of the traditional Abelian T-duality map. We moreover explicitly worked out the duality transformation for the simplest non-Abelian Drinfel'd double, $gl(2, \mathbb{R})$, showing how the gluing matrix, and hence the D-brane, transforms under the duality in this case. We found that D0-branes are dual to D0-branes (with different embeddings in the two dual target spaces), and that, depending on the background fields E and $\widetilde{E}$, D(-1)-branes are dual to D(-1)-branes or to D1-branes. This toy model demonstrates the symmetric (or invertible) nature of Poisson-Lie T-duality. We analysed also the three-dimensional double of Sfetsos [32], finding a similar symmetric duality action on the branes that links all branes together in a duality chain, where each step changes the brane dimension by one, except in the duality D(-1) ↔ D2.

The continuation of this programme includes a quest for better geometric understanding of the duality transformation of the gluing matrix, in terms of D-branes in the Drinfel'd double. In particular, the dual gluing matrix in some cases appears to depend on the coordinates of the original manifold, which might indicate a need to restrict the duality to act only on certain types of D-brane. Also, the interpretation of the transformation in terms of Poisson structures and the geometry of symplectic leaves needs clarification. Extending the analysis to $\mathcal{N}=1$ worldsheet supersymmetric sigma models is an obvious path of investigation, as is a study of the analogous aspects of Poisson-Lie T-plurality [37]. In the latter case there exists more than one maximally isotropic decomposition (Manin triple) of the double into two subalgebras, and the duality transformation must include the switch between decompositions.

Acknowledgements: We are grateful to Ladislav Hlavatý, Ctirad Klimčík, Timothy Logvinenko, Libor Šnobl and Rikard von Unge for useful discussions and comments. CA acknowledges support in part by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) and by the Japanese Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS). RAR wishes to thank the staff at ICTP, Trieste for their hospitality during the final stages of this project.

A The Poisson-Lie condition

The field equations of the action (2.1) associated to left-translation on G read

$$\partial_{\mathbin{+\!\!\!+}} J_{-a} + \partial_{=} J_{+a} - \mathcal{L}_{r^a} E_{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mathbin{+\!\!\!+}} X^\mu \partial_{=} X^\nu = 0 \,, \tag{A.1}$$

where the currents $J_{\pm a}$ are defined as

$$J_{+a}(g) \equiv \partial_{\mathbin{+\!\!\!+}} X^\mu E_{\mu\nu}(g) (r^{-1})^\nu_a \,, \qquad J_{-a}(g) \equiv (r^{-1})^\mu_a E_{\mu\nu}(g) \partial_{=} X^\nu \,.$$

To turn (A.1) into a flatness condition for $J_{\pm a}$, we need to impose the following restriction on the background,

$$\mathcal{L}_{r^a} E_{\mu\nu}(g) \;=\; -E_{\mu\rho}(g) (r^{-1})^\rho_b \widetilde{f}_a{}^{bc} (r^{-1})^\sigma_c E_{\sigma\nu}(g) \,, \tag{A.2}$$

which transforms (A.1) into

$$\partial_{\mathbin{+\!\!\!+}} J_{-a} + \partial_{=} J_{+a} + J_{+b} \widetilde{f}_a{}^{bc} J_{-c} = 0 \,, \tag{A.3}$$

i.e., precisely the flatness condition (2.17).

References

[1] H. Ooguri, Y. Oz and Z. Yin, "D-branes on Calabi-Yau spaces and their mirrors," Nucl. Phys. B **477** (1996) 407, hep-th/9606112

[2] J. Borlaf and Y. Lozano, “Aspects of T-duality in open strings,” Nucl. Phys. B **480** (1996) 239-264, hep-th/9607051

[3] K. Hori, “Linear models of supersymmetric D-branes,” hep-th/0012179

[4] C. M. Hull, A. Karlhede, U. Lindström and M. Roček, “Nonlinear σ-models and their gauging in and out of superspace,” Nucl. Phys. B **266** (1986) 1-44

[5] M. Roček and E. Verlinde, “Duality, quotients, and currents,” Nucl. Phys. B **373** (1992) 630-646, hep-th/9110053

[6] S. F. Hassan, “O(d,d;R) deformations of complex structures and extended worldsheet supersymmetry,” Nucl. Phys. B **454** (1995) 86-102, hep-th/9408060

[7] E. Alvarez, J. L. F. Barbón and J. Borlaf, “T-duality for open strings,” Nucl. Phys. B **479** (1996) 218-242, hep-th/9603089

[8] H. Dorn and H.-J. Otto, “On T-duality for open strings in general abelian and nonabelian gauge field backgrounds,” Phys. Lett. B **381** (1996) 81-88, hep-th/9603186

[9] S. Förste, A. Kehagias and S. Schwager, “Non-Abelian duality for open strings,” Nucl. Phys. B **478** (1996) 141-155, hep-th/9604013

[10] B. de Wit, C. M. Hull and M. Roček, “New topological terms in gauge invariant actions,” Phys. Lett. B **184** (1987) 233-238

[11] A. Giveon and M. Roček, “On nonabelian duality,” Nucl. Phys. B **421** (1994) 173-190, hep-th/9308154

[12] C. Klimčík and P. Ševera, “Dual non-abelian duality and the Drinfeld double,” Phys. Lett. B **351** (1995) 455-462, hep-th/9502122

[13] M. A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, “Dressing transformations and Poisson group actions,” Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Kyoto **21** (1985) 1237-1260

[14] A. Yu. Alekseev and A. Z. Malkin, “Symplectic structures associated to Lie-Poisson groups,” Commun. Math. Phys. **162** (1994) 147-174, hep-th/9303038

[15] V. Chari and A. N. Pressley, “A guide to quantum groups,” Cambridge University Press (1995)

[16] V. G. Drinfel’d, “Quantum groups,” Proc. ICM, MSRI, Berkeley (1986) 708

[17] V. G. Drinfel’d, “On Poisson homogeneous spaces of Poisson-Lie groups,” Teoreticheskaya i Mathematicheskaya Fizika, **95**, 2 (1993) 226-227, translated in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, Springer Verlag New York, **95**, 2 (1993) 524-525

[18] L. Hlavatý and L. Šnobl, "Classification of Poisson-Lie T-dual models with two-dimensional targets," Mod. Phys. Lett. A **17** (2002) 429-434, hep-th/0110139

[19] L. Hlavatý and L. Šnobl, "Classification of 6-dimensional real Manin triples," math.QA/0202209

[20] L. Hlavatý and L. Šnobl, "Classification of 6-dimensional real Drinfeld doubles," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **17** (2002) 4043-4068, math.QA/0202210

[21] C. Klimčík and P. Ševera, "Poisson-Lie T-duality: open strings and D-branes," Phys. Lett. B **376** (1996) 82-89, hep-th/9512124

[22] S. Stanciu, "D-branes in group manifolds," JHEP **0001** (2000) 025, hep-th/9909163

[23] C. Klimčík, "Nested T-duality," Lett. Math. Phys. **77** (2006) 99, hep-th/0505240

[24] K. Sfetsos, "Poisson-Lie T-duality and supersymmetry," Nucl. Phys. **56B** (Proc. Suppl.) (1997) 302-309, hep-th/9611199

[25] E. Tyurin and R. von Unge, "Poisson-Lie T-duality: the path-integral derivation," Phys. Lett. B **382** (1996) 233-240, hep-th/9512025

[26] C. Klimčík and P. Ševera, "Poisson-Lie T-duality and Loop Groups of Drinfeld Doubles," Phys. Lett. B **372** (1996) 65, hep-th/9512040

[27] C. Albertsson, U. Lindström and M. Zabzine, "N=1 supersymmetric sigma model with boundaries, I," Commun. Math. Phys. **233** (2003) 403, hep-th/0111161

[28] C. Albertsson, U. Lindström and M. Zabzine, "N=1 supersymmetric sigma model with boundaries, II," Nucl. Phys. B **678** (2004) 295-316, hep-th/0202069

[29] C. Albertsson, U. Lindström and M. Zabzine, "Superconformal boundary conditions for the WZW model," JHEP **0305** (2003) 050, hep-th/0304013

[30] C. Albertsson, U. Lindström and M. Zabzine, "T-duality for the sigma model with boundaries," JHEP **0412** (2004) 056, hep-th/0410217

[31] K. Sfetsos, "Canonical equivalence of non-isometric sigma models and Poisson-Lie T-duality," Nucl. Phys. B **517** (1998) 549-566, hep-th/9710163

[32] K. Sfetsos, "Poisson-Lie T-duality beyond the classical level and the renormalization group," Phys. Lett. B **432** (1998) 365-375, hep-th/9803019

[33] K. Yano and M. Kon, "Structures of manifolds," Series in Pure Mathematics, Vol. 3, World Scientific, Singapore (1984)

[34] C. Klimčík, “Poisson-Lie T-duality,” Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **46** (1996) 116-121, hep-th/9509095

[35] C. Klimčík and P. Ševera, “T-duality and the moment map,” hep-th/9610198

[36] S. F. Hassan, “T-duality and non-local supersymmetries,” Nucl. Phys. B **460** (1996) 362-378, hep-th/9504148

[37] R. von Unge, “Poisson-Lie T-plurality,” JHEP **0207** (2002) 014, hep-th/0205245